

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 06086329 7

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume II

Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2012-2013

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

Storage

KE
538.5
.R64
2012
v.2
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 31 2012

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume II

**Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

2012-2013

*** * ***

***These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto***



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/familylawcasesma02roge_0

FAMILY LAW
Table of Contents
Volume II

Page No.

IV. THE ECONOMICS OF MARRIAGE BREAKDOWN

A. The Economics of Marriage

“Multiple Income Earners the Norm” from Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada’s Families IV</i> , 2010, at 82-82, 87	296
Statistics Canada, “Paid Work” in <i>Women in Canada 2010-2011</i>	297
Statistics Canada, “Economic Well-Being” in <i>Women in Canada 2010-2011</i>	300
“Having Kids Costly for Educated Moms”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , April 8, 2009	302
Robert Leckey, “Families in the Eyes of the Law: Contemporary Challenges and the Grip of the Past” IRPP, <i>Choices</i> , vol 15(8), July 2009 at 6-7	304

B. The Economic consequences of Divorce

Note: the Economic Consequences of Divorce.....	305
Robert Leckey, “Families in the Eyes of the Law: Contemporary Challenges and the Grip of the Past” IRPP, <i>Choices</i> , vol 15(8), July 2009 at 7-9, 30	306
“Income Profile of Couple Families” and “Incomes of Lone-parent Families” from Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada’s Families IV</i> , 2010, at 98-9, 104-5	308
Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>The Current State of Family Finances 210 Report</i> , Foreward and Appendices A, B, and C	311

V. MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY

A. Historical Overview

(a) Common Law

OLRC, <i>Report on Family Law, Part IV: Family Property</i>	315
---	-----

(b) Trust Doctrine

LRCC, <i>Family Property</i>	317
Note: <i>Murdoch v. Murdoch</i> and <i>Rathwell v. Rathwell</i>	318
<i>Pettkus v. Becker</i>	322
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Woman’s Suicide Ends Fight for Rights”	328
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Ontario Fee System Cited in Woman’s Legal Woe”	329
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Payout ends tragic story of farm wife’s legal battle”	330
Note: <i>Sorochan v. Sorochan</i>	331
<i>Peter v. Beblow</i>	332
Note: Constructive Trust Post <i>Peter v. Beblow</i>	347
<i>Kerr v. Baranow</i>	347
Questions	368
<i>Nowell v. Town Estate</i> (Gen. Div.)	369
<i>Nowell v. Town Estate</i> (Ont. C.A.)	374

(c) Statutory Reform and the “Partnership Principle”

Holland, “Reform of Matrimonial Property Law in Ontario”	376
Eekelaar, <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> , “Adjustment on Divorce”	377
Fineman, “Implementing Equality”	380

(d)	Statutory Reform in Ontario: the 1978 FLRA to the 1986 FLA	
	Wolfson, <i>The New Family Law Act</i>	383
	Note: 2009 Amendments to the FLA	386
B.	Part I of Family Law Act, 1986	
(a)	Application	
	Note: Application of the FLA to Unmarried Couples.....	387
	Note: Application of Matrimonial Property Law to Aboriginal Women.....	388
(b)	Overview of the Family Law Act	
	Ontario Law Reform Commission, <i>Report on Family Property</i> (1993).....	390
	Law Reform Commission of British Columbia, <i>Working Paper on Property Rights on Marriage Breakdown</i> (1989)	393
(c)	Applying the FLA: Calculating the Equalization Payment	
	Goodman, "Matrimonial Property", <i>Family Law Bar Admission Course Materials</i>	397
	<i>Skrli v. Skrli</i>	409
	Hovius, Sample Problems	413
(d)	Valuation and Valuation Date Assets	
	(i) <u>Valuation Date</u>	
	Note	414
	(ii) <u>Determining Ownership of Assets</u>	
	Fredricks, "The Ownership Conundrum"	416
	Wolfson and Corbin, "Problems of Title and and Constructive Trusts"	417
	(iii) <u>Valuation</u>	
	Hovius and Youdan, <i>The Law of Family Property</i> , pp. 291-299	419
	<i>Black v. Black</i>	422
	Goodman, "Matrimonial Property", <i>Family Law Bar Admission Course Materials</i>	423
(e)	Deductions and Exclusions	
	Hovius and Youdan, <i>The Law of Family Property</i> , "Negative Net Family Property"	426
	<i>Folga v. Folga</i>	429
	Note: <i>Nahatchewitz v. Nahatchewitz</i>	430
	<i>Black v. Black</i>	431
	Note: Proposed Amendments to the <i>Family Law Act</i>	433
(f)	The Definition of Property: Income or Property	
	<i>Caratun v. Caratun</i>	435
	<i>Lowe v. Lowe</i>	441
(g)	Continued Relevance of Constructive Trust	
	Note	446
	<i>Rawluk v. Rawluk</i>	447
	Note: Reverse Constructive Trust	458
	Note: OLRC 1993 Recommendations.....	459

(h) Departures from Equalization**(i) Short Marriage**

Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 177-182.....	461
<i>Futia v. Futia</i>	463
<i>Pope v. Pope</i>	465

(ii) Debts and Depletion of Assets (and Extent of Court’s Power under s. 5(6))

Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 170-177.....	469
<i>Scott v. Scott</i>	473
Notes	475
Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 155-157.....	477
<i>Von Czeslik v. Ayuso</i> , 2007 ONCA 305, 36 R.F.L. (6 th) 231 (Ont. C.A.).....	479
Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 157-160.....	485

(iii) Unequal Contributions

<i>LeBlanc v. LeBlanc</i>	487
<i>Toronto Star</i> , “A New Day in a Hard Life”	490
<i>Berdette v. Berdette</i>	492
Note: <i>Peake v. Peake</i> , <i>McInnis v. McInnis</i> , <i>Martin v. Martin</i>	497
Note: Tort Awards for Spousal Misconduct	498

(iv) Post-Separation Conduct and Anticipated Future Needs

Note: <i>Merklinger v. Merklinger</i> , <i>Tamitegama v. Tamitegama</i>	498
Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 190-192.....	500
Note: B.C. Family Relations Act: Reapportionment on the Basis of Post-Separation Need.....	501

(v) Post-Separation Changes in the Value of Property

<i>Serra v. Serra</i> , 2009 ONCA 105, 61 R.F.L. (6 th) 1 (Ont. C.A.).....	502
Notes and Questions.....	516
OLRC, Report on Family Property (1993).....	517

VI. THE MATRIMONIAL HOME**A. Introduction**

Hovius, “The Matrimonial Home”.....	518
-------------------------------------	-----

B. Overview of Part II of the Family Law Act, 1986

Note: <i>Debora v. Debora</i> (2006), 33 R.F.L. (6 th) 252 (Ont. C.A.).....	522
<i>MacFarland v. MacFarland</i> , 2009 CarswellOnt 2949 (Ont. S.C.J.).....	522

C. Orders for Exclusive Possession

Wolfson and Corbin, “The Matrimonial Home Under the FLA”	528
<i>Pifer v. Pifer</i>	529
<i>Hill v. Hill</i>	530

Note: Exclusive Possession and Issues of Violence	533
Note: Restraining Orders.....	535
Justice Geraldine Waldman, “The What and the Why of the Proposed Integrated Domestic Violence Court”	536

D. Groups Excluded from Protection under Part II of the FLA

Note	538
<i>Gathering Strength: Report of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples</i>	539